Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/671,308	KONNO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Kopec	1751
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Mark Kopec</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Barbara Siegell</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 April 2006	Time: <u>2:00 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claim 6 was rejoined. Non-elected claims 5 and 7 were cancelled. Applicant is free to file a DIV on these claims.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
		·
Manale		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		